

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 01/24/	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	97 CISAR	A LYNN/0020
	IM41/0106	EXAMINER

JEFFREY L. STREETS
PATTERSON & STREETS, L.L.P.
3040 POST OAK BLVD
SUITE 1500
HOUSTON TX 77056

EXA	MINER	
MAPI	LES,J	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
¹⁷⁴⁵ /3		

DATE MAILED:

01/06/99

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

B	THE	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:				
a)		is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the fir	al rejection			
b)	\B	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.				
		Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response the date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response a purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set.	nd also the date for the on fee pursuant to 37 CFR			
		Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).				
2	App to p	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed $\frac{j2/28/98}{}$ has been considered with the following to place the application in condition for allowance:	effect, but it is not deemed			
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:					
		 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary presented. 	шу and was not earlier			
		b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).				
		c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).				
		d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or appeal.	simplifying the issues for			
		e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	ns.			
		NOTE: THE UNDERLINED PORTIONS TO CLAIMS 1 + 7 COMP NEW ISSUES.	MIE DAE			
2.		Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately the non-allowable claims.	filed amendment cancelling			
3.	B. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment in will be entered in will not be entered and the status of the claims we be as follows:					
		Claims allowed:				
		Claims objected to:				
		Claims rejected:				
		Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4.		The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rej	ection because			
5.		The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.				
	The	The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	Musla			
_		Other PR	Mingle Ohn S. Maples IMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1016			